

Foteini Orfanou, Eleni Vlahogianni and George Yannis Department of Transportation Planning and Engineering National Technical University of Athens, Greece forfanou; elenivl; geyannis@central.ntua.gr

A methodological framework from data collection to impact assessment of autonomous vehicles





 Autonomous vehicles will change future transportation systems and mobility patterns

SUMMER

SCHOOL

2020

- Penetration rate depends on:
 - Impact on traffic, user oriented and environmental aspects
 - Levels of public acceptance

Scope of Work

- Methodological Framework for:
 - Modelling acceptance
 - Impact assessment of AVs





Impact Assessment

KPIs for Safety	Stability of lateral and longitudinal position - (X, Y, Z)	Punctuality	Total time spent travelling (min, h)	Congestion phenomena, traffic oscillations (reduction of stop -
Crash probability	Accident Occurrence	Passenger waiting time (min)	Route choice	Changes in Macroscopic Fundamental Diagrams (MFDs)
Extreme maneuvers (harsh braking, max acceleration/deceleration)	AV reaction time and response to incidents and rear crash situations (s)	KPIs for Vehicle Performance	KPIs for Traffic/Network Performance	LOS
Brake intensity (m/s^2)	Clearance time of the queue (s,min)	Number of emergency decelerations per 1000km,	Traffic flow homogenization	KPIs for Junction Performance
Traffic violations (very low values of headway) -(m)	KPIs for Public Transport Performance	Mean and minimum time- headway to the preceding vehicle in front in car following situations (s)	Harmonic average speed (km/h)	Average delay (min, s)
Traffic violations (speeding) - (km/h)	Travel speed (km/h)	Minimum accepted gap at intersections or in lane changes (m)	Average delay (min, s)	Through traffic (veh)
TTC (s)	Travel time (min)	Mean and minimum distance (m) to the preceding vehicle (headway 5 s or less)	Number of stops	KPIs for Environment
PET (s)	Cost -per-vehicle kilometer	KPIs for Personal Mobility and Travel Behavior	Maximum road capacity (veh/h)	Tailpipe emissions (CO, NOx, CO2) (kg)
Range of acceleration/deceleration (m/s^2)	Delays (min)	Perception of travel time	Capacity at design speed (veh/h)	Energy consumption (kWh)
Crash occurrence/crash	LOS	Mode share/Mode choice	Average travel time on specific segments or routes	Fuel consumption (mpg)

Fig. 1. Flow Diagram of the methodological framework

Data Collection

- Real world data collected
 from test vehicles
 Data B
 Data B
 Data B
 Data C
- Data collected from user surveys

Modelling

A. Statistical Modelling

B. Behavioral Models

SERVER

			(min)	
Number of lane changes	Dwell time (s)	Total distance travelled (km)	Speed profiles	Other GHG emissions (kg)
Number of crashes	Vehicle occupancy rates			

Conclusion

- Various dependencies between service goals and specifications
- Complexity of autonomous service influences all steps, chosen techniques and modeling needs
- Holistic approach is necessary
- Different AV behavioral models may need to be developed

Acknowledgements

Drive2theFuture project (Needs, wants and behavior of "Drivers" and automated vehicle users today and

- Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)
- Technology Acceptance Models (Multinomial Logit, Machine/Deep Learning)

Driver Behavioral Model	Parameters		
Adaptive Cruise Control (ACC)	max . Accel/decel, desired time gap, speed		
Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control (CACC)	max . Accel/decel, desired time gap, speed		
Intelligent Driver Model (IDM)	desired speed/decel/time gap, jam distance, max. accel		
MICroscopic Model for Simulation of Intelligent Cruise (MIXIC)	Accel., decel., speed, distance		
Krauss Car Following Model	accel., decel., sigma, vehicle length, max speed, min Gap		
Wiedemann 99 Car Following Model	Accel, decel. Speed, time gap		
Cellular Automata	Max. speed, accel, decel., time headway		
Gipps's Car Following Model	Speed, max accel, severe braking, reaction time, wished speed		
Gipps' s Lane changing model	gap acceptance, distance zones, speed, max/min gap		
Bilateral multi-anticipation model	IDM+ distance, relative speed, trust, proximity rules		
Multi - agent cooparative traffic model	Physical Layer> communication layer > trust		

into the future" funded by European Commission

under the MG-3.3.2018: "Driver" behavior and

acceptance of connected, cooperative and

automated transport; Research and Innovation

Action (RIA).

www.L3Pilot.eu Twitter@_L3Pilot_ LinkedInL3Pilot



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020

research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 723051.

Supported by the European Council for Automotive R&D.

Databas

